

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FII	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/416,715		0/13/1999	MANFRED LEMBKE	10191/1201	6509
26646	7590 % VENIV	10/31/2002		EXAMI	NER
KENYON & KENYON ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004				ZACHARIA, RAMSEY E	
11211 1011	<b>,</b>			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1773	17
				DATE MAILED: 10/31/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)
•	09/416,715	LEMBKE ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit
	Ramsey Zacharia	1773
The MAILING DATE of this communication		
THE REPLY FILED 16 October 2002 FAILS TO PLATHER TO PLATHER TO PLATHER TO PLATHER TO PLATHER THE PLATHER TO PL	ACE THIS APPLICATION IN to avoid abandonment of thi r: (1) a timely filed amendm opeal (with appeal fee); or (3	I CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE is application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in
PERIOD FOI	R REPLY [check either a) or	b)]
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the reply Expires on: (1) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See	this Advisory Action, or (2) the dai xpire later than SIX MONTHS from WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MON The date on which the petition underiod of extension and the correspondate of the shortened statutory period of Coffice later than three months af	the mailing date of the final rejection.  THS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  ander 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension  anding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension  d for reply originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appell 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	ed because:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require f	further consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see N	ote below);	
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the applicat issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	tion in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without ca	nceling a corresponding nur	mber of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See attached.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	ejection(s): <u>The rejection unde</u>	er 112, first paragraph.
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) w canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	rould be allowable if submitte	ed in a separate, timely filed amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because		een considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	I because it is not directed S	SOLELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amended explanation of how the new or amended claim	ment(s) a)⊠ will not be entens ns would be rejected is prov	ered or b) will be entered and an ided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ows:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 1,4-6,8-10 and 12-17.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)	disapproved by the Examiner.
		r No(s).
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stat	ement(s)( P10-1449) Pape	· /

Application/Control Number: 09/416,715

Art Unit: 1773

## RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

- 1. The proposed amendment will not be entered for the following reasons. First, the proposed amendment added the limitation that the anti-adhesive surface coating contacts the outer surface of the element. This limitation was never presented during prosecution and raises new issues that would require further search and consideration. Since this limitation was never considered during prosecution, the addition of it to the claims would not materially reduce or simplify the issues for appeal.
- 2. The request for consideration has been considered but is not persuasive because it is directed to limitations in the proposed amendment that will not be entered. Moreover, the argument that the references cannot be combined because they are not analogous art is not persuasive because both Sugimoto et al. and Gruner et al. are in the same field of endeavor, i.e. fuel systems, and Gruner et al. is specifically designed to be used in the type of flow channel described by Sugimoto et al. Therefore, the references may be combined in the manner put forth in the last Office action and the rejection is valid.
- 3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramsey Zacharia whose telephone number is (703) 305-0503. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Thibodeau, can be reached on (703) 308-2367. The fax phone number for the

Art Unit: 1773

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310 for non after-final correspondences and (703) 872-9311 for after-final correspondences.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

KEE

Ramsey Zacharia

10/29/02

Vivian Chen
Primary Examiner